

A NOTE ON THE CLASS OF N-POWER QUASI-ISOMETRY

J. STELLA IRENE MARY & P. VIJAYALAKSHMI

Department of Mathematics, P.S.G College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduce a new class of operators called the n - power quasi - isometry and study their properties related to quasinormality and partial isometry. We also introduce another related new class of operators and investigate their spectral properties.

KEYWORDS: Hilbert Space, Isometry, Operator, Quasinormal, Quasi-Isometry

AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B20, 47A62, 47A63.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let T be a bounded linear operator on a complex Hilbert space H . T is said to be

- (i) Normal if $T^*T = TT^*$
- (ii) N-Normal if $T^*T^n = T^nT^*$ [2]
- (iii) Quasinormal if $T(T^*T) = (T^*T)T$
- (iv) Quasi - Isometry if $T^{*2}T^2 = T^*T$
- (v) N-Power Quasinormal if $T^*TT^n = T^nT^*T$ [6].

The class of normal, n-normal, quasinormal and n-power quasinormal operators are denoted by $[N]$, $[nN]$, $[QN]$ and $[nQN]$ respectively. The class of quasi-isometries which is a simple extension of isometries was introduced by [4]. The quasi-isometry operators retain some properties of isometries [5]. We introduce a new class of operators T namely n-power quasi-isometry denoted by $[nQI]$ satisfying $T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 = T^*TT^{n-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously this is based on the class of quasi-isometries denoted by $[QI]$ [4]. It is evident that when $n = 1$, $[1QI] = [QI]$. Interestingly we observe that, for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ the corresponding classes $[nQI]$ are independent which is evident from the following examples.

Example 1.1: For the operator $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, a simple calculation shows that $T \notin [QI]$ but $T \in [2QI]$.

Example 1.2: The operator $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, is $[QI]$ but not $[2QI]$.

Example 1.3: When $H = \ell^2$, the unilateral shift given by the matrix operator $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$, satisfies

$T(T^{*2}T^2) = (T^*T)T$ but not $T^2(T^{*2}T^2) = (T^*T)T^2$. That is, $T \in [2QI]$ and $T \notin [3QI]$.

Let $B(H)$ denote the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space H and let $\sigma(T)$, $\sigma_a(T)$ and $\sigma_p(T)$ denote the spectrum, the approximate point spectrum and the point spectrum of T respectively.

2. PROPERTIES OF CLASS $[nQI]$

Definition 2.1: An operator T is said to be unitarily equivalent to an operator S if $S = UTU^*$ for an unitary operator U .

Theorem 2.2: The following assertions hold:

- (1) If $T \in [QI] \cap [2QI]$ then $T \in [nQI], n > 2$.
- (2) If $T \in [2QI] \cap [3QI]$ then $T \in [nQI], n \geq 4$.
- (3) If $T \in [nQI]$ then every operator unitarily equivalent to T is also $[nQI]$.
- (4) $T \in [QI] \cap [nQI]$ then $T \in [(n-1)QN], n \geq 2$.

Proof: (1) Since $T \in [QI] \cap [2QI]$, we have,

$$T^{*2}T^2 = T^*T \quad (2.1)$$

$$TT^{*2}T^2 = T^*TT. \quad (2.2)$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain,

$$TT^{*2}T^2 = T^{*2}T^2T \quad (2.3)$$

By (2.1) and (2.3), $(T^*T)T^{n-1} = (T^{*2}T^2)T^{n-1} = (T^{*2}T^2T)T^{n-2} = (TT^{*2}T^2)T^{n-2}$.

Again applying (2.3) in $(T^*T)T^{n-1} = T(T^{*2}T^2T)T^{n-3}$, we obtain $(T^*T)T^{n-1} = T(TT^{*2}T^2)T^{n-3}$.

Repeating the procedure we arrive at $T^*TT^{n-1} = T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2$.

$$(2) \text{ Since } T \in [2QI] \cap [3QI], T^2T^{*2}T^2 = T^*TT^2 \text{ and } TT^{*2}T^2 = T^*TT. \quad (2.4)$$

$$\text{Combining these two equations we obtain, } T(TT^{*2}T^2) = (TT^{*2}T^2)T \quad (2.5)$$

Using (2.4) and (2.5) we have

$$T^*TT^{n-1} = (T^*TT)T^{n-2} = (TT^{*2}T^2)T^{n-2} = (TT^{*2}T^2)TT^{n-3} = T(TT^{*2}T^2)T^{n-3} = T^2T^{*2}T^2T^{n-3}.$$

Using (2.5) repeatedly for a finite number of times we obtain $T^*TT^{n-1} = T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2$.

(3) Let S be unitarily equivalent to $T \in [nQI]$. Then $S = UTU^*$, where U is unitary. Since $T \in [nQI]$,

$$T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 = T^*TT^{n-1} \text{ and}$$

$$S^{n-1}S^{*2}S^2 = (UT^{n-1}U^*)(UT^{*2}U^*)(UT^2U^*) = U(T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2)U^* = U(T^*T^n)U^* = S^*S^n.$$

(4) Since $T \in [QI] \cap [nQI]$, we have,

$$T^{*2}T^2 = T^*T \tag{2.6}$$

$$T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 = T^*TT^{n-1}. \tag{2.7}$$

$$T^*TT^{n-1} = T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 \text{ by(2.7)}$$

$$T^*TT^{n-1} = T^{n-1}T^*T \text{ by(2.6).}$$

Hence $T \in [(n-1)QN]$.

It is natural to ask whether the product and sum of two $[nQI]$ operators are $[nQI]$. In general they need not be. The following Theorem gives an affirmative answer under some conditions.

Theorem 2.4

(1) If T and S are of class $[nQI]$, such that T doubly commutes with S then $TS \in [nQI]$.

(2) If T and S are of class $[nQI]$, such that $ST = TS = T^*S = ST^* = 0$ then $T + S \in [nQI]$.

Proof: (1) Since T doubly commutes with S , $TS = ST$ and $TS^* = S^*T$.

$(TS)^{n-1}(TS)^{*2}(TS)^2 = T^{n-1}S^{n-1}S^{*2}T^{*2}T^2S^2 = S^{n-1}S^{*2}S^2T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 = S^*SS^{n-1}T^*TT^{n-1} = (TS)^*(TS)(TS)^{n-1}$
 since $T, S \in [nQI]$. Thus $TS \in [nQI]$.

(2) Since $TS = ST = T^*S = ST^* = 0$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} (T + S)^{n-1}(T + S)^{*2}(T + S)^2 &= (T^{n-1} + S^{n-1})(T^{*2} + S^{*2})(T^2 + S^2) = T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 + S^{n-1}S^{*2}S^2 \\ &= T^*TT^{n-1} + S^*SS^{n-1} \text{ since } T, S \in [nQI]. \end{aligned} \quad (T + S)^{n-1}(T + S)^{*2}(T + S)^2 = (T + S)^*(T + S)(T + S)^{n-1}$$

Thus $T + S \in [nQI]$.

3. CONDITIONS IMPLYING QUASINORMALITY

The class of normal operators and quasinormal operators are independent of class $[nQI]$. In this section we prove that under some algebraic conditions T , T^2 or T^{n-1} are quasinormal.

Theorem 3.1

(1) Let $T \in [QI] \cap [3QI]$ then T^2 is quasinormal.

(2) If $T \in [2QI] \cap [3QI]$ and $\ker(T^*) \subset \ker(T)$ then is T quasinormal and in particular if $\ker(T^*) = 0$ then T is normal where $\ker T$ is the nullspace of T .

Proof: (1) Since $T \in [QI] \cap [3QI]$, $T^{*2}T^2 = T^*T$ and $T^2T^{*2}T^2 = T^*TT^2$ Hence $T^2T^{*2}T^2 = T^*TT^2 = T^{*2}T^2T^2$
 Hence T^2 is quasinormal.

(2) By hypothesis, $TT^{*2}T^2 = T^*TT$ (3.1)

$$\text{and } T^2 T^{*2} T^2 = T^* T T^2 \quad (3.2)$$

$$T(TT^{*2}T^2) = (T^*T)T^2 \Rightarrow T(T^*TT) = (T^*T)T^2 \text{ by (3.1). } (TT^* - T^*T)T^2 = 0 \text{ or}$$

$$T^{*2}(TT^* - T^*T) = 0. \text{ Since } \ker(T^*) \subset \ker(T), TT^*(TT^* - T^*T) = 0 \text{ and } \ker|T^*|^2 = \ker T^* \text{ implies}$$

$$T^*(TT^* - T^*T) = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

$(TT^* - T^*T)T = 0$. Hence T is quasinormal. If $\ker(T^*) = 0$ then from (3.3) we obtain T is normal.

Theorem 3.2: If T and $T - I$ are in $[2QI] \cap [3QI]$, then T is quasinormal.

Proof: Since $T \in [2QI]$ and $T - I \in [2QI]$,

$$TT^{*2}T^2 = T^*TT \quad (3.4)$$

$$(T - I)(T - I)^{*2}(T - I)^2 = (T - I)^*(T - I)(T - I) \quad (3.5)$$

To prove that T is quasinormal, by part 2 of Theorem 3.1, it is enough to prove the kernel condition $\ker(T^*) \subset \ker(T)$. Since $(T - I) \in [3QI]$, $(T - I)^2(T - I)^{*2}(T - I)^2 = (T - I)^*(T - I)(T - I)^2$

$$(T - I)(T - I)^*(T - I)^2 = (T - I)^*(T - I)(T - I)^2 \text{ by (3.5).}$$

On simplifying we obtain, $TT^*T^2 + TT^* - 2TT^*T + 2T^*T^2 - T^*T^3 - T^*T = 0$.

$$TT^*T^2 + TT^* - 2TT^*T + 2(TT^{*2}T^2) - (TT^{*2}T^2)T - T^*T = 0 \text{ by (3.4)}$$

$$\text{or } T^{*2}TT^* + TT^* - 2T^*TT^* + 2T^{*2}T^2T^* - T^*T^{*2}T^2T^* - T^*T = 0.$$

Let $x \in \ker(T^*)$, then $T^*x = 0$. From the above equation, $-T^*Tx = 0 \Rightarrow Tx = 0$. Therefore $\ker(T^*) \subset \ker(T)$ and hence T is quasinormal.

Theorem 3.3: If $T \in [QI] \cap [nQI]$ then T^{n-1} is quasinormal.

Proof: By hypotheses given in the theorem, we have

$$T^{*2}T^2 = T^*T \quad (3.6)$$

$$T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 = T^*TT^{n-1} \quad (3.7)$$

We need to prove $T^{n-1}(T^{*n-1}T^{n-1}) = (T^{*n-1}T^{n-1})T^{n-1}$

$$T^{n-1}(T^{*n-1}T^{n-1}) = T^{n-1}T^{*n-3}(T^{*2}T^2)T^{n-3} = T^{n-1}T^{*n-2}T^{n-2} \text{ by (3.6).}$$

Repeated application of (3.6) gives, $T^{n-1}(T^{*n-1}T^{n-1}) = T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 = T^*TT^{n-1}$ by (3.7)

$$= T^{*2}T^2T^{n-1} \text{ by (3.6)}$$

$$= T^{*3}T^3T^{n-1} \text{ by (3.6)}$$

$$= T^{*4}T^4T^{n-1} \text{ by (3.6)}$$

Repeating the process and using (3.6) we obtain the desired result.

4. CONDITIONS IMPLYING PARTIAL ISOMETRY

In this section we show that by imposing certain conditions on $[nQI]$ operator it becomes partial isometry.

Lemma 4.1: Let $T \in [nQI]$ then $T \in [(n+1)QI]$ if and only if $[T^*T^n, T] = 0$ where $[A,B] = AB - BA$.

Proof: $T \in [(n+1)QI] \Leftrightarrow T^n T^{*2} T^2 = T^* T T^n \Leftrightarrow T(T^{n-1} T^{*2} T^2) = (T^* T^n) T \Leftrightarrow T(T^* T T^{n-1}) = (T^* T^n) T$
 $\Leftrightarrow T(T^* T^n) = (T^* T^n) T \Leftrightarrow [T^* T^n, T] = 0$.

Theorem 4.2: Let $T \in [(n+1)QI] \cap [nQI]$ such that T^n has dense range in H , then T is normal partial isometry.

Proof: By Lemma 4.1, $TT^*T^n = T^*T^n T$ or $(TT^* - T^*T)T^n = 0$. Since T^n has dense range in H , T is normal. Hence $(T^*T)^2 T^n = T^{*2} T^2 T^n = T^n T^{*2} T^2 = T^* T T^n$. Thus $[(T^*T)^2 - T^*T]T^n = 0$ on range of T^n and we have T^*T is a projection and hence T is a partial isometry by [2.2.1 Theorem 3[2]].

Corollary 4.3: If $T \in [(n+1)QI] \cap [nQI]$ such that T^n has dense range in H , then T is unitary.

Proof: By Theorem 4.2, T is normal and partial isometry and hence $TT^*T = T$. By the definition of $[nQI]$
 $T^{n-1} T^{*2} T^2 = T^* T T^{n-1}$ or $T(T^{n-1} T^{*2} T^2) = T(T^* T^n)$ or $T^n T^{*2} T^2 = TT^* T^n$. (4.1)

Since $T \in [(n+1)QI]$, $T^* T T^n = T^n T^{*2} T^2 = TT^* T^n$ by (4.1). That is $T^* T T^n = TT^* T^n$. Using $TT^* T = T$,

we obtain, $T^* T T^n = TT^{n-1}$ or $(T^* T - I)T^n = 0$. Since range of T^n is dense in H , $T^* T = I$ and hence T is unitary.

Theorem 4.4: Let $T = UP$ be the polar decomposition of T and $T \in [2QI]$ such that $\ker(U) \subset \ker(U^*)$ then T is partial isometry.

Proof: $T \in [2QI]$ implies $TT^{*2}T^2 = T^*TT$ or $UP^2U^*P^2UP = P^2UP$. Taking adjoint

$$PU^*P^2UP^2U^* = PU^*P^2. \text{ The kernel condition } \ker(U) = \ker(P) \text{ yields, } UU^*P^2UP^2U^* = UU^*P^2 \text{ or}$$

$$U^*UU^*P^2UP^2U^* = U^*UU^*P^2 \text{ or } U^*P^2UP^2U^* = U^*P^2. \text{ Since } U^*UP^2 = P^2 \text{ we have,}$$

$$U^*P^2UP^2 = U^*P^2U, \text{ or } U^*P^2U(P^2 - I) = 0 \text{ or } [PU(P^2 - I)]^* [PU(P^2 - I)] = 0.$$

Using the fact that, $S^*S = 0 \Rightarrow S = 0$ for any operator S on H , we obtain $PU(P^2 - I) = 0$. Again

$\ker(U) = \ker(P)$ yields $U^2(P^2 - I) = 0$. By hypothesis, $\ker(U) \subset \ker(U^*)$ and hence,

$U^*U(P^2 - I) = 0$ or $P^2 = U^*U$. That is P^2 is a projection and P is a partial isometry by [2.2.1 Theorem

3[2]]. Hence $T = UP$ is a partial isometry.

Remark 4.5: The above Theorem raises the following question: Is a 2 - power quasi - isometry T a partial isometry if $\ker(U^*) \subset \ker(U)$.

Theorem 4.6: Let T be of class $[nQI]$ such that T is a partial isometry then T^2 is an isometry.

Proof: T is a partial isometry implies $TT^*T = T$ (4.2)

Since $T \in [nQI]$ $T^{n-1}T^*T^2 = T^*TT^{n-1}$.

$T(T^{n-1}T^*T^2) = T(T^*TT^{n-1}) \Rightarrow T^nT^*T^2 = TT^{n-1}$ by (4.2).

$T^n(T^*T^2 - I) = 0$ (4.3)

That is, $T^*T^2 = I$ on $\ker(T^n)$. By (4.3) $(T^*T^2 - I)T^{*n} = 0$ or $T^*T^2 = I$ on $\ker(T^n)^\perp$. Thus $T^*T^2 = I$ on $H = \ker(T^n) \oplus \ker(T^n)^\perp$ implies T^2 is isometry.

Definition 4.7: The spectral radius of $T \in B(H)$ is defined as $r(T) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}$.

It is well known that for a quasinormal operator, $r(T) = \|T\|$ [2].

Theorem 4.8: If $T \in [QI] \cap [2QI]$, then $r(T) = 1$ where $r(T)$ is the spectral radius of T .

Proof: Since $T \in [QI] \cap [2QI]$, by 4 of Theorem 2.1, T is quasinormal and hence $r(T) = \|T\| = 1$.

5. CLASS $[QZ_\alpha^n]$ OPERATORS

A.Uchiyama and T.Yoshino [7] studied a class of operators T satisfying

$$\|TT^* - T^*T\|^\alpha \leq c_\alpha^2 (T - \lambda I)(T - \lambda I)^* \text{ where } \alpha > 0 \text{ and } \lambda \in C.$$

Analogously, we define a new class $[QZ_\alpha^n]$ based on the n- power quasi isometry class $[nQI]$. We define a class $[QZ_\alpha^n]$ of operators T satisfying the following hypothesis. $T \in [QZ_\alpha^n]$ if for some $\alpha \geq 1$ and $c_\alpha > 0$,

$\|T^{n-1}T^*T^2 - T^*TT^{n-1}\|^\alpha \leq c_\alpha^2 (T - \lambda I)^{*n} (T - \lambda I)^n$ for all $\lambda \in C$. Equivalently, for some $\alpha \geq 1$ and $c_\alpha > 0$

$\left\| T^{n-1}T^*T^2 - T^*TT^{n-1} \right\|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq c_\alpha \|(T - \lambda I)^n x\|$ for all $x \in H, \lambda \in C$. Also let $[QZ^n] = \bigcup_{\alpha \geq 1} [QZ_\alpha^n]$. We note that

the class $[nQI] \subset \text{class}[QZ^n]$.

Lemma 5.1: For each α, β such that $1 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$, we have $[QZ_\alpha^n] \subseteq [QZ_\beta^n]$.

Proof: $\left| T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 - T^*TT^{n-1} \right|^\beta = \left| T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 - T^*TT^{n-1} \right|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left| T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 - T^*TT^{n-1} \right|^{\beta-\alpha} \left| T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 - T^*TT^{n-1} \right|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$
 $\leq \left\| T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 - T^*TT^{n-1} \right\|^{\beta-\alpha} \left\| T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 - T^*TT^{n-1} \right\|^\alpha \leq \left(\left\| T^{n+3} \right\| + \left\| T^{n+1} \right\| \right)^{\beta-\alpha} c_\alpha^2 (T - \lambda I)^{*n} (T - \lambda I)^n =$
 $c_\beta^2 (T - \lambda I)^{*n} (T - \lambda I)^n$, where $c_\beta^2 = \left(\left\| T^{n+3} \right\| + \left\| T^{n+1} \right\| \right)^{\beta-\alpha} c_\alpha^2$. Therefore $[QZ_\alpha^n] \subseteq [QZ_\beta^n]$.

Proposition 5.2 [Berberian Technique [1]]

Let H be a complex Hilbert space. Then there exists a Hilbert space $K \supset H$ and an isometric

* – homomorphism preserving the order $\Phi : B(H) \rightarrow B(K) : T \rightarrow T_0$ satisfying:

$$(1) \Phi(T^*) = \Phi(T)^* \quad (2) \Phi(\lambda T + \mu S) = \lambda \Phi(T) + \mu \Phi(S)$$

$$(3) \Phi(I_H) = I_K \quad (4) \Phi(TS) = \Phi(T)\Phi(S)$$

$$(5) \|\Phi(T)\| = \|T\| \quad (6) \Phi(T) \leq \Phi(S) \text{ if } T \leq S$$

$$(7) \sigma(\Phi(T)) = \sigma(T), \sigma_a(T) = \sigma_a(\Phi(T)) = \sigma_p(\Phi(T))$$

$$(8) \text{ If } T \text{ is a positive operator, then } \Phi(T^\alpha) = |\Phi(T)|^\alpha \forall \alpha > 0.$$

Lemma 5.3: If $T \in \text{class}[nQI]$, then $\Phi(T) \in \text{class}[nQI]$.

Lemma 5.4: If $T \in [QZ^n]$ then $\Phi(T) \in [QZ^n]$.

Proof: Since $T \in [QZ^n]$, $\left| T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 - T^*TT^{n-1} \right|^\alpha \leq c_\alpha^2 (T - \lambda I)^{*n} (T - \lambda I)^n$ for all $\lambda \in C$, $\alpha \geq 1$ and $c_\alpha > 0$.

From the properties of Φ it follows that, $\Phi\left(\left| T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 - T^*TT^{n-1} \right|^\alpha\right) \leq \Phi(c_\alpha^2 (T - \lambda I)^{*n} (T - \lambda I)^n)$ for all

$\lambda \in C$. By condition 8 of Proposition 5.2, we get, $\Phi\left(\left| T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 - T^*TT^{n-1} \right|^\alpha\right) = \left| \Phi\left(T^{n-1}T^{*2}T^2 - T^*TT^{n-1}\right) \right|^\alpha$ for

all $\alpha > 0$. Therefore $\left| \Phi(T)^{n-1} \Phi(T)^{*2} \Phi(T)^2 - \Phi(T)^* \Phi(T) \Phi(T)^{n-1} \right|^\alpha \leq \Phi(c_\alpha^2 (T - \lambda I)^{*n} (T - \lambda I)^n)$ for all

$\lambda \in C$. Therefore $\Phi(T) \in [QZ^n]$

Theorem 5.5: Let $T \in [QZ^1]$,

(1) If $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$, such that $|\lambda| = 1$ then $\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_p(T^*)$, furthermore if $\lambda \neq \mu$ then E_λ (the proper subspace associated with λ) is orthogonal to E_μ .

(2) If $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)$ then $\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_a(T^*)$.

(3) $T^{*2}T^2 - T^*T$ is not invertible.

Proof: (1) $T \in [QZ^1]$, then $T \in [QZ^1_\alpha]$, for some $\alpha \geq 1$, and therefore there exists a positive constant c_α such that,

$$\left| T^{*2} T^2 - T^* T \right|^\alpha \leq c_\alpha^2 (T - \lambda I)^* (T - \lambda I) \text{ for } \lambda \in C. \quad \text{As } Tx = \lambda x \quad \text{implies} \quad \left| T^{*2} T^2 - T^* T \right|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} x = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$(T^{*2} T^2 - T^* T)x = 0. \quad \lambda^2 T^{*2} x - \lambda T^* x = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda T^{*2} x - T^* x = 0. \quad \text{By hypothesis } |\lambda| = 1 \text{ and hence}$$

$$(T^* - \bar{\lambda}) T^* x = 0. \quad \text{To establish } \bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_p(T^*) \text{ we need to show that } T^* x \neq 0. \quad \text{Suppose } T^* x = 0, \text{ then}$$

$$0 = \langle x, T^* x \rangle = \langle Tx, x \rangle = \lambda \langle x, x \rangle. \quad \text{Since } x \neq 0, \text{ we obtain } \lambda = 0 \text{ which contradicts, } |\lambda| = 1 \text{ and hence the desired}$$

result. Moreover if $\lambda \neq \mu$, then $\lambda \langle x, y \rangle = \langle \lambda x, y \rangle = \langle Tx, y \rangle = \langle x, T^* y \rangle = \langle x, \bar{\mu} y \rangle = \mu \langle x, y \rangle$

$$\text{Therefore } \langle x, y \rangle = 0.$$

$$(2) \text{ Let } \lambda \in \sigma_a(T) \text{ then from condition 7 of Proposition 5.2, we have } \sigma_a(T) = \sigma_a(\Phi(T)) = \sigma_p(\Phi(T)).$$

Therefore $\lambda \in \sigma_p(\Phi(T))$. By Lemma 5.4 and condition 1 of proposition 5.2, we obtain,

$$\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_p(\Phi(T)^*) = \sigma_p(\Phi(T^*)).$$

(3) $T \in [QZ^1]$, then there exists an integer $p \geq 1$ and $c_p > 0$ such that,

$$\left\| \left| T^{*2} T^2 - T^* T \right|^{2p-1} x \right\| \leq c_p^2 \|(T - \lambda I)x\|^2 \text{ for all } x \in H, \lambda \in C.$$

It is known that $\sigma_a(T) \neq \emptyset$. If $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)$, then there exists a normed sequence (x_m) in H such that

$$\|(T - \lambda I)x_m\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \text{ Then } (T^{*2} T^2 - T^* T)x_m \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \text{ Therefore } T^{*2} T^2 - T^* T \text{ is not}$$

invertible.

REFERENCES

1. S. K. Berberian, *An extension of Weyl's theorem to a class of not necessarily normal operators*, Michigan. Math. J., 16 (1969), 273-279.
2. T. Furuta, *Invitation to linear operators*, Taylor and Francis, London New York 2001.
3. A. A. S. Jibril, *On n-power normal operators*, The Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 33(2A) (2008), 247-251.
4. S. M. Patel, *A note on quasi-isometries*, Glasnik Matematički, 35(55)(2000), 307-312.
5. S. M. Patel, *A note on quasi-isometries II*, Glasnik Matematički, 38(58)(2003), 111-120.
6. Ould Ahmed Mahmoud Sid Ahmed, *On the class of n-power quasi-normal operators on Hilbert space*, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. 3(2)(2011), 213-228.
7. A. Uchiyama and T. Yoshino, *On the class Y operators*, Nihonkai Math. J., 8(1997), 179-194.